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PROJECT PROPOSAL FORM

|  |
| --- |
| **SEMESTER: Summer 2023-2024** |
| **PROJECT TITLE:** 0 to 99 counter circuit |
| **Survey to develop a process for complex engineering problems with a wide range of conflicting requirements (use pie chart):**  Forms response chart. Question title: If you were to use the "0 to 99 Counter Circuit" for a specific purpose, what would it be? . Number of responses: 13 responses. |

|  |
| --- |
| Forms response chart. Question title: How often would you want the "0 to 99 Counter Circuit" to reset and start counting from zero? . Number of responses: 13 responses.  Forms response chart. Question title: What color scheme would you prefer for the circuit&apos;s casing and design? . Number of responses: 14 responses.      Forms response chart. Question title: Which feature is a must-have for your "0 to 99 Counter Circuit"? . Number of responses: 15 responses.  Forms response chart. Question title: If you were to use the "0 to 99 Counter Circuit" for a specific purpose, what would it be? . Number of responses: 14 responses.  AIMS AND OBJECTIVE OF THE PROJECT  The primary aim of the "0 to 99 counter circuit" project is to create a highly precise counting system that reliably tallies from 0 to 99 without any discrepancies. This circuit will feature a user-friendly display interface, ensuring easy and accurate readability of the counted values. Our objective is to design a compact, space-efficient circuit with intuitive controls, making it suitable for integration into a wide range of electronic projects. We will implement error-checking mechanisms to minimize counting errors and provide rigorous testing and calibration for consistent performance. Comprehensive documentation will be produced to guide users in building and operating the circuit. The design will emphasize flexibility for seamless integration into other systems and maintain cost-effectiveness by choosing affordable, widely available components without compromising quality. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **EXPERIMENTAL BLOCK DIAGRAM:** | | | | | | | | | |
| **PROJECT TIMELINE (GANTT CHART):** | | | | | | | | | |
|  | Task | DAY 1 | DAY 2 | DAY 3 | DAY 4 | DAY 5 | DAY 6 | DAY 7 |  |
|  | Project planning | x |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Component sourcing |  | x | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Software code |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Prototype build |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |  |
|  | Testing and debugging |  |  |  |  | x |  |  |  |
|  | Final integration |  |  |  |  | x | x |  |  |
|  | Documentation |  |  |  |  |  |  | x |  |
| **REFERENCES:**   1. Ali M. Ashkanani, Ali Sophy M. Rosa, Hadi aghavipour, “A Design Approach of Automatic Visitor Counting System Using ideo amera”, I Journal of Electrical and Electronics Engineering (IOSR-JEEE) eISSN: 2278-1676, p-ISSN: 2320-3331, Volume 10, Issue 2 Ver. I (Mar – Apr. 2015), PP 62-67 2. David Pettibone\*, Peter Newmanb,1, Steven R. Lawson, “Estimating visitor use at attraction sites and trailheads in   Yosemite National Park using automated visitor counters”, Pete one et al. and scape and Urban Planning 97 (2010) 229– 238. | | | | | | | | | |
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**COURSE TEACHER’S NAME COURSE TEACHER’S SIGNATURE DATE**

**GROUP MEMBERS**

(Maximum 6 students are permitted to carry out a single Project. However, depending on the capability of the students, 4 students may be allowed but not less than that)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **NAME**: MD.MEHEDI HASAN POLASH | **NAME**: MST. UMMAY FATEMA MIM |
| **ID: 22-46566-1** | **ID:** 21-45818-3 |
| **PROGRAM: CSE** | **PROGRAM:** CSE |
| **EMAIL: polashmehedi33@gmail.com** | **EMAIL:** |
| **NAME**: | **NAME**: |
| **ID**: | **ID:** |
| **PROGRAM:** | **PROGRAM:** |
| **EMAIL:** | **EMAIL:** |
| **REMARKS (for OFFICE use only)** | |

***Course Outcome Mapping with the Course Project Proposal:***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COs/CLOs** | | **Details** | | **K** | **P** | **A** | **Assessed Program Outcome Indicator** | **BNQF**  **Indicator** | **Assessment Techniques** |
| CO1 | | Apply proper information and concepts of different logic gates, digital ICs, transistors, and timers to implement logical circuits considering a wide range of conflicting requirements. | | K3 | P1,  P2, P6 |  | P.a.3.C3 | FS.1 | Course Project Proposal Form |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **Course Name:** | | | Digital Logic and Circuits Lab | | | | **Course Code:** | | EEE 3102 |
| **Semester:** | | | Fall 2023-2024 | | | | **Section:** | | E |
| **Faculty Member:** | | |  | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **Course Project Title:** | | | 0 to 99 counter circuit | | | | | | |
| **Project Group No.** | | | 05 | | | | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | |
| **SL** | **Student ID #** | | **Student Name** | | | | **Obtained Marks** | | |
| **1.** |  | |  | | | |  | | |
| **2.** |  | |  | | | |  | | |
| **3.** |  | |  | | | |  | | |
| **4.** |  | |  | | | |  | | |
| **5.** |  | |  | | | |  | | |

***Assessment Materials and Marks Allocation:***

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **COs** | **Assessment Materials** | **POIs** | **Marks** |
| CO1 | Course Project Proposal form | **P.a.3.C3** | 20 |

***Assessment Rubrics***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| COs-POIs | Excellent [17-20] | Proficient [13-16] | Good [9-12] | Acceptable [5-8] | Unacceptable [1-4] | No Response [0] | Secured Marks |
| **CO1 P.a.3.C3** | The survey developed as a process for complex engineering problems considering a wide range of conflicting requirements and implementation process is clear and challenging for future project implementation. | The survey developed as a process for complex engineering problems considering a wide range of conflicting requirements, but the conflicting requirements are less in number and implementation process is clear and challenging for future project implementation. | The survey developed as a process for complex engineering problems considering a wide range of conflicting requirements, but the conflicting requirements are less in number and implementation process is not so clear but seems challenging for future project implementation. | The survey developed as a process for complex engineering problems considering a wide range of conflicting requirements, but the conflicting requirements are fewer in number and implementation process is not so clear and seems less challenging for future project  implementation. | The survey developed as a process for complex engineering problems considering a wide range of conflicting requirements, but the conflicting requirements are very few in number and implementation process is not clear at all and seems impractical for future project implementation. | No Response |  |
| **Comments** |  | | | | | **Total marks (20)** |  |